# FRESNO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT 

BOARD COMMUNICATION
BC Number: EA - 1

| From the Office of the Superintendent | Date: October 12, 2018 |
| :--- | :--- |
| To the Members of the Board of Education | Phone Number: 457-3471 |
| Prepared by: Lindsay Sanders, | Chief of Equity and Access | cabinet Approval: $\quad$| Regarding: Fresno Unified Differentiated Assistance Status |
| :--- |
| The purpose of this communication is to provide the Board information regarding Differentiated <br> Assistance as defined by the California Department of Education, and the goals and initiatives <br> present in Fresno Unified toward addressing identified needs. |
| Attached, you will find data slides that provide background on Differentiated Assistance in <br> California and the areas of need with which Fresno Unified has been identified. Data sets are <br> provided for each of the three student groups for building context and understanding, and <br> departmental initiatives for addressing priority needs are highlighted. <br> If you have further questions or require additional information, please contact Lindsay Sanders <br> at 457-3471. | at 457-3471.

## DIFFERENTIATED ASSISTANCE

OCTOBER I2, 2018
PREPARED BY EQUITY AND ACCESS

## DIFFERENTIATED ASSISTANCE IN CALIFORNIA

- The state's priorities for education include:
- Student achievement (math and English test scores, English learner progress),
- School climate (suspension rates), and
- Student engagement (graduation rates, chronic absenteeism).

With California's accountability system through the California School Dashboard, districts were identified qualifying for differentiated assistance under the statewide system of support.

County Offices of Education are partnering with local schools or districts to define a high quality and consistent approach to differentiated assistance that will improve student outcomes for our poorest performing students.

## DIFFERENTIATED ASSISTANCE IN CALIFORNIA

- I in 4 California school districts must work with county offices of education or with a new state agency to improve the education of at least one of their student groups that were ranked among the worst performers on the California School Dashboard
- In 2/3 of the 228 California school districts, students with disabilities were among student groups identified as performing very poorly.
- In half of the designated districts, students with disabilities were the only student group flagged.
- $12 \%$ of the California school districts identified in differentiated assistance, African American students were identified as one of the student groups as performing very poorly.


## OUR DISTRICT IN DIFFERENTIATED ASSISTANCE

- Within our district, students with disabilities, African American students, and foster youth are the student groups identified as performing very poorly.
- Students with disabilities are among the lowest performing in ELA, have one of the highest suspension rates, and the lowest graduation rate among our students.
- African American students are among the lowest performing in ELA and have one of the highest suspension rates.
- Foster youth are among the poorest performing student groups in ELA and have one of the highest suspension rates among our students.


## ENROLLMENT FOR 2017-18 AND 2018-19 BY STUDENT GROUP



## ENROLLMENT OF FOSTER YOUTH AND AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDENTS WITH A DISABILITY

-2017-18 - 2018-19

| 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90\%$80 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| $20 \%$$10 \%$ |  |  | 11.0\% $\quad 10.5$ |  |  |
|  | 1.8\% |  |  |  | 0.4\% 0.4\% |
| 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Foster Y |  | African American |  | Both |
|  |  | Foster Youth | African American | African American Foster Youth | All Student w/ Disability |
|  | 2017-18 | 142 | 858 | 28 | 7832 |
|  | 2017-19 | 185 | 874 | 33 | 8289 |

## AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDENTS WHO ARE ALSO FOSTER YOUTH AND/OR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES



## FOSTER YOUTHWHO ARE ALSO AFRICAN AMERICAN AND/OR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

| - 2017-18 - 2018-19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ 90 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \\ & 80 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 30 \% \\ & 20 \% \end{aligned}$ | 15.7\% 16.5\% |  | 21.4\% $22.3 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 10\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.2\% $\quad 4.0 \%$ |
| 0\% |  |  |  |  | - |
|  | African Ame |  | Students with disabi |  | Both |
|  |  | African American | Students with Disability | Both | All Foster Youth |
|  | 2017-18 | 104 | 142 | 28 | 664 |
|  | 2018-19 | 137 | 185 | 33 | 828 |

## STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

## DISTRICT ENROLLMENT OF STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES



## ENROLLMENT OF STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY BY PROGRAM



## ADA ATTENDANCE OF STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES



Students with Disabilities
All Students

## SUSPENSION AND EXPULSION RATE FOR STUDENTS W/ DISABILITY IN 2017-I8 (PERCENT OF UNIQUE STUDENTS SUSPENDED)
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## SUSPENSION INSTANCES PER IO0 FOR STUDENTS W/ DISABILITY IN 20I7-I8 (DUPLICATES)

100


|  | Instances | Enrollment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SPED | 2158 | 7832 |
| All Students | 10316 | 73931 |

## PERCENT OF STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES WHO MET/EXCEEDED ELA STANDARDS ONTHE SBAC 20I7-I8
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## PERCENT OF STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES WHO MET/EXCEEDED MATH STANDARDS ON THE SBAC 20I7-I8
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## GRADUATION RATES OF STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES 4-YEAR COHORT FOR 2016-I7



|  | Students with a <br> disability | All Students |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Graduates | 273 | 3787 |
| Cohort | 499 | 4494 |

## STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES WITH I OR MORE D'S AND F'S IN ANY SUBJECT BY QUARTER 2017-I8



|  | Students with a disability | All Students |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quarter I | $3127(5837)$ | $23906(51960)$ |
| Quarter 2 | $3018(5837)$ | $23088(51960)$ |
| Quarter 3 | $3213(6426)$ | $25623(57998)$ |
| Quarter 4 | $2959(6426)$ | $23113(57998)$ |

## STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES WITH AT LEAST ONE D AND F IN ELA OR MATH 20I7-I8



## STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES WHO HAVE D'S AND/OR F'S IN ELA BY GRADE LEVEL 20I7-I8

## 100.0\%



## STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES WHO HAVE D'S AND/OR F'S IN MATH BY GRADE LEVEL 2017-I8

100.0\%


## AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDENTS

## DISTRICT ENROLLMENT OF AFRICAN AMERICANS



|  | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| African American Students | 5982 | 6058 |
| Total Enrollment | 73931 | 74410 |

## AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDENT ENROLLMENT BY GRADE LEVEL SEGMENT



## ADA ATTENDANCE OF AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDENTS IN 20I7-I8



African American Students
All Students

## SUSPENSION AND EXPULSION RATE FOR AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDENTS IN 20I7-I8 (PERCENT OF UNIQUE STUDENTS SUSPENDED)
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|  | African American | All Students |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unique Students Suspended | 1038 | 5286 |
| Unique Students Expelled | 54 | 235 |
| Students Enrolled | 5982 | 73931 |

## SUSPENSION INSTANCES PER 100 FOR AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDENTS IN 20I7-I8 (DUPLICATES)

100


|  | Instances | Enrollment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| African American | 2292 | 5982 |
| All Students | 10316 | 73931 |

## PERCENT OF AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDENTS WHO MET/EXCEEDED ELA STANDARDS ONTHE SBAC 20I7-I8
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## PERCENT OF AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDENTS WHO MET/EXCEEDED MATH STANDARDS ONTHE SBAC 2017-I8



## GRADUATION RATES OF AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDENTS 4-YEAR COHORT FOR 2016-17



## AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDENTS WITH I OR MORE D'S AND F'S IN ANY SUBJECT BY QUARTER 2017-I8



## AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDENTS WITH AT LEAST ONE D AND F IN ELA OR MATH 20I7-I8



## AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDENTS WHO RECEIVED D'S AND/OR F'S IN AN ELA COURSE 20I7-I8



## AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDENTS WHO RECEIVED D'S AND/OR F'S IN A MATH COURSE 20I7-I8



## STUDENTS IN FOSTER CARE

## DISTRICT ENROLLMENT OF FOSTER YOUTH



## DISTRICT ENROLLMENT OF STUDENTS IN FOSTER CARE




|  | 2017-18 | 2018-19 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Foster Youth | 664 | 828 |
| Total Enrollment | 73931 | 74410 |

## FOSTER YOUTH ENROLLMENT BY ETHNICITY/RACE



## ETHNIC/RACIAL MAKE-UP OF FOSTER YOUTHWITHIN OUR DISTRICT



## ADA ATTENDANCE OF FOSTER YOUTH IN 20I7-I8



All Students

## SUSPENSION AND EXPULSION RATE FOR FOSTER YOUTH IN 2017-I8 (PERCENT OF UNIQUE STUDENTS SUSPENDED)

$100.0 \%$
$80.0 \%$
80.0\%


|  | Foster Youth | All Students |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unique Students Suspended | 149 | 5286 |
| Unique Students Expelled | 8 | 235 |
| Students Enrolled | 664 | 73931 |

## SUSPENSION INSTANCES PER 100 FOR FOSTER YOUTH STUDENTS IN 20I7-I8 (DUPLICATES)



## GRADUATION RATES OF FOSTER YOUTH STUDENTS 4-YEAR COHORT FOR 2016-I7



## PERCENT OF FOSTER YOUTH WHO MET/EXCEEDED ELA STANDARDS ONTHE SBAC 2017-18
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## PERCENT OF FOSTER YOUTH WHO MET/EXCEEDED MATH STANDARDS ONTHE SBAC 2017-18


27.3\%


All Students

|  | Foster Youth | All Students |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Met/Exceeded | 34 | 9552 |
| All Test Takers | 270 | 35040 |

## FOSTER YOUTHWITH I OR MORE D'S AND F'S IN ANY SUBJECT BY QUARTER 2017-I8



|  | Foster Youth | All Students |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quarter I | $282(470)$ | $23906(51960)$ |
| Quarter 2 | $272(470)$ | $23088(51960)$ |
| Quarter 3 | $272(530)$ | $25623(57998)$ |
| Quarter 4 | $241(530)$ | $23113(57998)$ |

## FOSTER YOUTH WITH AT LEAST ONE D AND F IN ELA OR MATH 2017-I8



## FOSTER YOUTH WHO RECEIVED D'S AND/OR F'S IN AN ELA COURSE 2017-I8



## FOSTER YOUTHWHO RECEIVED D'S AND/OR F'S IN A MATH COURSE 2017-I8



## DISTRICT GOALS SUPPORTING THE NEEDS OF STUDENT GROUPS RECOGNIZED IN DIFFERENTIATED ASSISTANCE

## Goals for the Department of Prevention and Intervention

- Goal I: Decrease suspension rates by I percentage point by increasing interventions and tier I practices.
- Goal 2: Reduce the number of African-American and Hispanic/Latino students with 7 or more days of suspension, by $5 \%$.
- Goal 3: Decrease the number of students who are chronically absent by $2 \%$ by June $7,2019$.
- Goal 4: Increase student sense of belonging and school connectedness by 5\%, as evidenced by growth in students' self-rating on annual school climate assessment survey.


## Goals for Students with Disabilities (SWD)

- Goal I:Improve SWD graduation rate
- Goal 2: Decrease disproportionality
- Goal 3: Increase the amount of time students are in general education environments
- Goal 4: Improve performance on statewide achievement tests
- Goal 5: Improve IEP compliance
- Goal 6: Improve instruction as measured by Instructional Practice Guide (IPG)


## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES THROUGH DEPARTMENTS

- Developing a strategic multi-year plan for students with disabilities to address recommendations from Council of the Great City Schools to include:
- Universal Inclusion and Support
- Expand Student Learning Opportunities
- Build Skills and Competencies for Staff
- Engage and Empower Parents
- Systems for Continuous Improvement
- Implementing a task force for African American students in collaboration with West Ed in order to generate a multi-year plan
- Implementing a protocol to for site administration to meet with any African American students and students with disabilities with five or more suspensions in order to develop connects and supports


## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES THROUGH DEPARTMENTS CONTINUED

- Behavior Intervention Specialists provide tier III supports PK-3rd grade students.
- Interagency Child Empowerment Team (ICET) works with K-6 students in connecting families and students with outside resources.
- Development of Tier II social emotional supports at 19 targeted schools.
- Provide professional learning to build teachers and administrators depth of knowledge around Social Emotional Learning and engagement strategies.
- Established Pivot teams at four sites to develop a science improvement model to support foster youth.
- Summer literacy program which served 161 elementary school students, resulting in 4.9 months of reading acceleration.
- Redesign of Resource Counseling program to focus on academics. Resource Counseling Assistants are at 8 elementary schools for two days each serving 320 African American students total.
- Academic Advisor program for middle and high schools has been modified to better serve students. The program was also expanded from 18 sites to 27 sites, serving a total of 540 students. This program offers five hours per week per site for advisors to work with students.


## STRATEGIC INITIATIVES THROUGH DEPARTMENTS CONTINUED

- 9 Behavior Intervention Specialists provide individualized support to students grades PK-3, exhibiting intensive Tier III behaviors.
- Social Workers at all comprehensive middle and high schools.
- Interagency Child Empowerment Team (ICET)- multidisciplinary team focused on supporting elementary students and families.
- Mentoring of Individual, Group, Peer Mentoring, My Brothers Keeper Attendance Mentoring.
- Men's \& Women's Alliance programs at middle and high school.
- Additional Culture \& Climate Specialists to support teachers in creating the conditions for learning.
- Refinement of student discipline practices, including reentry from expulsion.
- Engagement process and support for "2021" and "2022" African American students toward success and support of college readiness.


# FRESNO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD COMMUNICATION 

BC Number: EA - 1

| From the Office of the Superintendent | Date: February 1, 2019 |
| :--- | :--- |
| To the Members of the Board of Education |  |
| Prepared by: Lindsay Sanders, Chief of Equity and Access | Phone Number: 457-3471 |
| Cabinet Approval: |  |
| Regarding: Comprehensive Support and Improvement and Targeted Support and <br> Improvement Schools Identified by the State |  |
| The purpose of this communication is to provide the Board information regarding which school <br> sites have been identified by the state of California for additional levels of support as identified <br> by state indicators. |  |

As a reminder, the updated CA Dashboard highlighted three areas in which Fresno Unified is in Differentiated Assistance to guide planning and support from now through the 2019/2020 school year. Today, the state identified nine (9) Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) schools and twenty-eight (28) Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) schools in Fresno Unified School District.

The attached slide deck showcases each of those categories of support, definitions, as well as the identified schools and their associated areas of need.

If you have further questions or require additional information, please contact Lindsay Sanders at 457-3471.

Approved by Superintenden Robert G. Nelson, Ed.D. $\qquad$
 erirubon Date: 02/01/19

Fresno Unified
School District California District \& School Accountability, Updates for 2019

February 1, 2019

## Distric† Accountability

| District Eligibility | Description | Current (2019) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | A school district or <br> COE is eligible for <br> differentiated <br> assistance if any <br> student group is <br> red (red or orange | Foster Youth |
| Differentiated Priority 4) in two <br> or more priority <br> areas indicated in <br> Assistance | Students With <br> Disabilities |  |

## Current (2019)

| Student Group | Areas of Focus (Red and Orange) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foster Youth | Red | - Graduation Rate <br> - College/Career |
|  | Orange | - Suspension Rate <br> - ELA Performance <br> - Math Performance |
| Homeless | Red | - Chronic Absenteeism <br> - Suspension Rate |
|  | Orange | - ELA Performance <br> - Math Performance |
| Students with Disabilities | Red | - Graduation Rate <br> - College/Career |
|  | Orange | - ELA Performance <br> - Math Performance |

School Accountability (Comprehensive)

| School Eligibility | Description | Schools Identified as CSI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) | - All high schools with graduation rates below 67 percent <br> - Lowest performing 5 percent of Title I Schools <br> - Schools with all red indicators <br> - Schools with all red, but one indicator is any other color <br> - Schools with all red and orange indicators <br> - Schools with five or more indicators where the majority are red | - CSI Schools for Graduation (below 67\%) (some of these schools also have other areas to address too) <br> - Cambridge HS <br> - Dewolf HS <br> - J.E. Young HS <br> - CSI Schools for the lowest $5 \%$ of state Title I Schools <br> - Hidalgo ES <br> - Addicott (for Chronic Absenteeism) <br> - Ahwahnee MS <br> - Fort Miller MS <br> - Terronez MS <br> - Tioga MS |

## School Accountability (Targeted)



Title: CA District \& School Accountability
Prepared by: Equity \& Access

## FRESNO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD COMMUNICATION

BC Number: EA .-1

| From the Office of the Superintendent | Date: March 1, 2019 |
| :--- | :--- |
| To the Members of the Board of Education |  |
| Prepared by: Lindsay Sanders, Chief of Equity and Access | Phone Number: 457-3471 |
| Cabinet Approval. |  |
| Regarding: Fresno Unified Di ferentiated Assistance Status |  |
| The purpose of this communication is to provide the Board information regarding Differentiated |  |
| Assistance as defined by the California Department of Education, and the goals and initiatives |  |
| in Fresno Unified toward addressing identified needs. |  |
| Attached, you will find data slides that provide background on Differentiated Assistance in |  |
| California and the areas of need with which Fresno Unified has been identified based on the Fall |  |
| 2018 California Dashboard results. Data sets are provided for each of the three student groups |  |
| for building context and understanding, and departmental initiatives for addressing priority |  |
| needs are highlighted. |  |
| If you have further questions or require additional information, please contact Lindsay Sanders |  |
| at 457-3471. |  |

Approved by Superintendent:
Robert G. Nelson, Ed.D.

Date: $\qquad$

## DIFFERENTIATED ASSISTANCE

MARCH I, 2019
PREPARED BY EQUITY \& ACCESS

## OUR DISTRICT IN DIFFERENTIATED ASSISTANCE CHANGE—RED PERFORMANCE LEVELS

| Fall 2017 to Fall 2018 |  |  | Fall 2018 to Fall 2019 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Areas of Focus |  | Student Group | Areas of Focus |  |
| African American | Red | ELA Performance Suspension Rate | Foster Youth | Red | Graduation Rate College/Career |
| Foster Youth | Red | ELA Performance Suspension Rate | Homeless | Red | Chronic Absenteeism Suspension Rate |
| Students with Disabilities | Red | ELA Performance Graduation Rate Suspension Rate | Students with Disabilities | Red | Graduation Rate College/Career |

## WHAT IS DIFFERENTIATED ASSISTANCE?

| Levels of Support | Eligibility Criteria for Support |
| :--- | :--- |
| Level One-Support for All | Support is available to all districts/schools on a voluntary basis. |
| Level Two-Differentiated Assistance | A school district or COE is eligible for differentiated assistance if any student group is red <br> (red or orange on Priority 4) in two or more priority areas indicated in the dashboard. |
| Level Three-Intensive Intervention | A school district or COE is eligible for intensive intervention if three or more student groups are red <br> (red or orange on Priority 4) for two or more areas indicated on the Dashboard. |

## What will differentiated assistance include?

Consistent with the intent under the Local Control Funding Formula that differentiated assistance be tailored to the locally identified needs, rather than imposed as a one-size fits all solution, the approach providing differentiated assistance has the following features:

- Support providers work alongside the LEAs and their schools to identify key challenges and opportunities;
- Systematic approach tailored to locally identified needs and strengths; and
- Engaging with local educators and communities as part of the decision making

Fresno Unified's support provider is Fresno County Superintendent of Schools

## FALL 2019 CHANGE IN DIFFERENTIATED ASSISTANCE

Student Group Report for 2017

| Student Group | English Learner Progress | Suspension Rate | Graduation Rate | English Language Arts | Mathematics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | n/a | Orange | Green | Orange | Yellow |
| English Learners | Yellow | Orange | Yellow | Yellow | Yellow |
| Foster Youth | n/a | Red | Yellow | Red | Orange |
| Homeless | n/a | Orange | Yellow | Red | Orange |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | n/a | Orange | Green | Yellow | Yellow |
| Students with Disabilities | n/a | Red | Red | Red | Orange |
| African American | n/a | Red | Blue | Red | Orange |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | n/a | Red | None | Orange | Yellow |
| Asian | n/a | Yellow | Green | Yellow | Yellow |
| Filipino | n/a | Orange | None | Green | Green |
| Hispanic | n/a | Orange | Green | Yellow | Yellow |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | n/a | Yellow | None | Orange | Orange |
| White | n/a | Orange | Orange | Yellow | Yellow |
| Two or More Races | n/a | Red | Yellow | Yellow | Yellow |

Student Group Report for 2018

| Student Group | Chronic Absentecism | Suspension Rate | Graduation Rate | CollegelCareer | English Language Arts | Mathematics |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All Students | Yellow | Orange | Green | Green | Yellow | Yellow |  |
| English Learners | Orange | Orange | Yellow | Yellow | Yellow | Yellow |  |
| Foster Youth | Yellow | Orange | Red | Red | Orange | Orange |  |
| Homeless | Red | Red | Green | Yellow | Orange | Orange |  |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | Yellow | Orange | Green | Green | Yellow | Yellow |  |
| Students with Disabilities | Orange | Orange | Red | Red | Orange | Orange |  |
| African American | Orange | Red | Green | Yellow | Orange | Orange |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | Orange | Orange | None | None | Yellow | Yellow |  |
| Asian | Orange | Yellow | Green | Green | Yellow | Yellow |  |
| Filipino | Green | Green | None | None | Blue | Green |  |
| Hispanic | Yellow | Orange | Green | Green | Yellow | Yellow |  |
|  | Orange | Orange | None | None | Orange | Orange |  |
| Native Hawailan or Pacific Islander | Yellow | Orange | Green | Green | Green | Green |  |
| White | Yellow | Red | Green | Orange | Yellow | Yellow |  |
| Two or More Races |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## FALL 2018 CHANGE IN DIFFERENTIATED ASSISTANCE—AFRICAN AMERICAN

## Fall 2017

|  | All Students | African American |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA Performance <br> Red Performance | 45.4 points <br> below standard | 81.3 points below <br> standard |
| Suspension Rate <br> Red Performance | $7.0 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ |

## Fall 2018

|  | All Students | African <br> American | African <br> American <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA Performance <br> Orange Performance | 38.3 point <br> below standard | 71.3 points below <br> standard | Increased 10 points |
| Suspension Rate <br> Red Performance | $7.3 \%$ | $17.1 \%$ | Increased I.3\% |

The African American student group is no longer under differentiated assistance due to performance in the following area:

- ELA change in performance level from red to orange

The African American student group will remain an area of focus for our district because they are among the lowest performing in ELA and Math, one of the most chronically absent student groups, and have one of the highest suspension rates.

## FALL 2018 CHANGE IN DIFFERENTIATED ASSISTANCE—FOSTER YOUTH

## Fall 2017

|  | All Students | Foster Youth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA Performance <br> Red Performance | 45.4 points <br> below standard | 87.9 points below <br> standard |
| Suspension Rate <br> Red Performance | $7.0 \%$ | $21.7 \%$ |

## Fall 2018

|  | All Students | Foster Youth | Foster Youth <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA Performance <br> Orange Performance | 38.3 point <br> below standard | 78.6 points below <br> standard | Increased 9.3 points |
| Suspension Rate <br> Orange Performance | $7.3 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ | Decreased $0.4 \%$ |

The Foster Youth student group is no longer under differentiated assistance for the following areas:

- ELA change in performance level from red to orange
- Suspension change in performance level from red to orange

The Foster Youth student group is NOW under differentiated assistance for the following areas:

- Graduation Rate change in performance level from orange to red
- College/Career change in performance level from orange to red

The Foster Youth student group is still among the lowest performing in ELA and Math, one of the most chronically absent student groups, and have one of the highest suspension rates.

## FALL 2018 CHANGE IN DIFFERENTIATED ASSISTANCE—STUDENT WITH DISABILITIES (SWD)

## Fall 2017

|  | All Students | SWD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA Performance <br> Red Performance | 45.4 points <br> below standard | 134 points below <br> standard |
| Suspension Rate <br> Red Performance | $7.0 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ |
| Graduation Rate <br> Red Performance | $86 \%$ | $57.2 \%$ |

## Fall 2018

|  | All Students | SWD | SWD <br> Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA Performance <br> Orange Performance | 38.3 point <br> below standard | 127.6 points below <br> standard | Increased 6.4 points |
| Suspension Rate <br> Orange Performance | $7.3 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | Decreased 0.3\% |
| Graduation Rate <br> Red Performance | $88.2 \%$ | $61.2 \%$ | Increased 4\% |

The SWD student group is no longer under differentiated assistance for the following areas:

- ELA change in performance level from red to orange
- Suspension change in performance level from red to orange

The SWD student group is NOW under differentiated assistance for the following areas:

- Graduation Rate change in performance level from orange to red
- College/Career change in performance level from orange to red

The SWD student group is still among the lowest performing in ELA and Math, one of the most chronically absent student groups, and have one of the highest suspension rates.

## FALL 2018 CHANGE IN DIFFERENTIATED ASSISTANCE--HOMELESS

## Fall 2018

|  | All Students | Homeless |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chronic Absenteeism <br> Red Performance | $15.8 \%$ | $37.8 \%$ |
| Suspension Rate <br> Red Performance | $7.3 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ |

The Homeless student group is a newly added student group in differentiated assistance.

The Homeless student group is still among the lowest performing in ELA and Math, one of the most chronically absent student groups, and have one of the highest suspension rates.

## ENROLLMENT BY STUDENT POPULATION GROUP: 20I7/I8 \& 20I8/I9



## POPULATION GROUP BREAKDOWN (STUDENTS IN MULTIPLE POPULATION GROUPS): 2017/I8 \& 2018/I9

|  | Student with <br> Disabilities <br> (SWD) | Homeless | Foster Youth |  <br> Homeless | SWD \& Foster <br> Youth |  <br> Foster Youth | All 3 <br> Population <br> Groups |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2017 / 18$ | 7,281 | 1,348 | 639 | 193 | 135 | 33 | 7 |
| $2018 / 19$ | 7,630 | 441 | 814 | 62 | 182 | 8 | 3 |

## STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

## SPECIAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT GOALS 2018-I9

- Goal I:Improve SPED graduation rate.
- Goal 2: Decrease disproportionality.
- Goal 3: Decrease percentage of students in general education classes less than $40 \%$ of the day.
- Goal4: Increase percentage of students in general education classes more than $80 \%$ of the day.
- Goal 5: Improve IEP compliance.
- Goal 6: Improve instruction as measured by IPG.


## SPECIAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT PROGRESS TOWARD GOALS

- Developing a strategic multi-year plan to address recommendations from Council of the Great City Schools to include:
- Universal Inclusion and Support
- Expand Student Learning Opportunities
- Build Skills and Competencies for Staff
- Engage and Empower Parents
- Systems for Continuous Improvement
- Prioritized SPED in working with FTA through interest-based bargaining.
- Working collaboratively with SPED Committee to establish common priorities and address the CGCS recommendations
- Received and implemented Supporting Inclusive Practices (SIP) Grant at seven pilot sites to give more intensive support around inclusive practices to be replicated across the district.
- Provided intensive support to address suspensions and behaviors with extra Psychologist time at targeted sites.
- Implemented process of consulting with SPED staff before suspending AA students with disabilities at sites with suspension rates over I5\%.
- Board approved investment of \$1 million to provide curriculum, materials, and equipment along with professional learning.
- Working with EA to identify high school site for root cause analysis around graduation rate and college/career through pivot team model.
- Collaborating with FCSS (Matt Navo and Annie Sharp) to develop an internal Network Improvement Committee (NIC) with our 7 comprehensive high schools working together to address common SPED issues.


## PERCENT OF STUDENTSWITH DISABILITY: 2017/I8 \& 20I8/I9



## PERCENT OF STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY: BY PROGRAM, 2017/I8 \& 2018/19



## CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM RATE FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY: SEMESTER I, 20I7/I8 \& 2018/I9



## PERCENT OF UNIQUE STUDENTS* WITH DISABILITY WHO WERE SUSPENDED/EXPELLED: SEMESTER I, 20I7/I8 \& 20I8/I9

100\%


|  | Stu. w/ Disability <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 / 1 8}$ | All Students 20I7/18 | Stu. w/ Disability <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 / 1 9}$ | All Students 2018/19 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unique Students Suspended | 565 | 2,888 | 615 | 2,913 |
| Unique Students Expelled | 14 | 79 | 10 | 55 |
| Students Enrolled | 7,281 | 70,625 | 7,630 | 70,712 |

## SUSPENSION INSTANCES PER I00* FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY: SEMESTER I, 20I7/I8 \& 2018/I9



## 4-YEAR COHORT GRADUATION RATE FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY: 2016/I7 \& 2017/I8



|  | Graduates 2016/17 | Cohort 2016/17 | Graduates 2017/18 | Cohort 2017/18 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stu. w/ Disability | 273 | 499 | 253 | 431 |
| All Students | 3,787 | 4,494 | 3,723 | 4,337 |

## PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF LETTER GRADES INALL SUBJECTS FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY: QUARTER 2/SEMESTER I*, 20I7/I8 \& 2018/I9



## PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF LETTER GRADES IN ELA AND MATH FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY: QUARTER 2, 20I7/I8 \& 2018/I9 ( $3^{\text {RD }}-6^{\text {TH }}$ )



## PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF LETTER GRADES IN ELA AND MATH FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY: QUARTER 2, 20I7/I8 \& 2018/I9 ( $7^{\text {TH }}-8^{\text {TH }}$ )



## PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF LETTER GRADES IN ELA AND MATH FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITY: SEMESTER I, 20I7/I8 \& 2018/I9 ( $9^{\text {TH }}-12^{\text {TH }}$ )



HOMELESS STUDENTS

## DEPARTMENT OF PREVENTION AND INTERVENTION GOALS 2018-19

- Goal I: Decrease suspension rates by I percentage point by increasing interventions and tier I practices.
- Goal 2: Reduce the number of African-American and Hispanic/Latino students with 7 or more days of suspension, by $5 \%$.
- Goal 3: Decrease the number of students who are chronically absent by $2 \%$ by June $7,2019$.
- Goal 4: Increase student sense of belonging and school connectedness by $5 \%$, as evidenced by growth in students' self-rating on annual school climate assessment survey.


## DEPARTMENT OF PREVENTION AND INTERVENTION PROGRESS TOWARD GOALS: HOMELESS STUDENTS

- Caregiver Affidavits for Students Without an Available Parent
- Social Emotional Supports- Social Worker Services
- Intensive Case Management for Students and Families Residing in Shelter
- Partnership with Live Again Fresno to provide social work services for students residing on Motel Drive
- Goal 2 Support
- Transportation Assistance
- Planned for 2019/20:
- Designated Child Welfare \& Attendance Specialist to Focus on Chronically Absent Homeless Students
- Targeted Suicide Awareness Activities ( Foster Youth are High Risk Group)


## EFFORTS UNDERWAY TO REDUCE SUPENSION IN IDENTIFIED STUDENT GROUPS

- Social Emotional Supports aimed to reduce the behaviors leading to suspension:
- Tier II Intervention Specialists (I8 Sites): Coordinating and providing small group, short term interventions
- Behavioral Intervention Specialists: Supporting Students with Tier III needs (Grades PS-3)
- Social Work Services
- Grades 7-I2- All Comprehensive Middle, High School and Alternative Education Sites
- Foster, Homeless, Motel and Shelter Students
- Climate \& Culture Specialists
- Supporting Teachers and Administrators to Create the Classroom and Campus wide Conditions for Learning
- Increased Professional Learning Opportunities
- CHAMPS Classroom Management
- Responding to Student Behavior: De-escalation Techniques
- Building Student Social Emotional Skills
- Development of Discipline Guidelines-Technical Strategies for High Need School Sites


## PERCENT OF HOMELESS STUDENTS: 20I7/I8 \& 2018/I9



## PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF HOMELESS STUDENTS BY ETHNICITY: 2017/l8 \& 2018/l9



## CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM RATE FOR HOMELESS STUDENTS: SEMESTER I, 2017/I8 \& 2018/I9



## PERCENT OF UNIQUE HOMELESS STUDENTS* WHO WERE SUSPENDED/EXPELLED: SEMESTER I, 20I7/I8 \& 20I8/I9



## SUSPENSION INSTANCES PER I00* FOR HOMELESS STUDENTS: SEMESTER I, 2017/I8 \& 2018/I9



## 4-YEAR COHORT GRADUATION RATE FOR HOMELESS STUDENTS: 2016/17 \& 2017/18



## PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF LETTER GRADES IN ALL SUBJECTS FOR HOMELESS STUDENTS: QUARTER 2/SEMESTER I*, 20I7/I8 \& 2018/I9



## PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF LETTER GRADES IN ELA AND MATH FOR HOMELESS STUDENTS: QUARTER 2, 2017/I8 \& 2018/I9 ( $3^{\text {RD }}-6^{\text {TH }}$ )



## PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF LETTER GRADES IN ELA AND MATH FOR HOMELESS STUDENTS: QUARTER 2, 20I7/I8 \& 2018/I9 ( $7^{\text {TH }}-8^{\text {TH }}$ )



## PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF LETTER GRADES IN ELA AND MATH FOR HOMELESS STUDENTS: SEMESTER I, 20I7/I8 \& 2018/I9 ( $9^{\text {TH }}-12^{\text {TH }}$ )



FOSTER YOUTH STUDENTS

## DEPARTMENT OF PREVENTION AND INTERVENTION PROGRESS TOWARD GOALS: FOSTER YOUTH

- Foster Youth Pivot Team
- Social Emotional Supports- Social Worker Services
- Psychosocial Assessments
- Case Management, Individual \& Group Counseling
- Goal 2 Support
- Connecting Students to Arts, Activities and Athletics
- Financial Assistance Vouchers for Equipment and Other Necessary Supplies
- Coordination of Services and Supports with DSS/CPS and local agency partners


## ACADEMIC AND OTHER SUPPORTS: FOSTER YOUTH

- Academic Assessment: All Foster Youth Grades 8-I2
- Transcript Analysis
- Individual Meetings with Students Struggling Academically and/or Credit Deficient
- Coordinate College Exposure Opportunities for Middle School Students
- Coordination of Priority College Registration Events
- Financial Aid and College Application Assistance
- Participation and Advocacy in DSS decision making meetings, disciplinary meetings and expulsion hearings


## FOSTER YOUTH PIVOT WORK

- Improvement science model
- Problem of practice addressed: High foster youth suspension rates
- Facilitated by Fresno County Superintendent of Schools and Equity and Access
- Participants included: DPI, Site Personnel, and Equity and Access
- Received input and feedback along the way from:
- A foster youth Group Home Owner
- Instructional superintendent from School Leadership
- Department of School and Social Services
- Foster youth parent
- Foster youth student
- School Social Worker
- Chief of Equity and Access
- Focus area: Build better foster youth connections and relationships on school sites



## FOSTER YOUTH PIVOT PROCESS AND OUTCOMES

- Focused on four pilot sites based on their high foster youth enrollment and high foster youth suspension rates
- Full day site action planning to see what actions sites could take to improve connectedness of foster youth
- Bullard (31 foster youth)
- Hoover (29 foster youth)
- Tioga (6 foster youth)
- Tenaya (8 foster youth)
- Site action plans varied to meet diverse site needs
- Streamline intake process
- Mentor-mentee program
- Foster youth club
- Foster youth advisory council
- Outcomes:
- Foster youth suspensions
- Foster youth connectedness to school - Panorama CC/SEL annual survey


## PERCENT OF FOSTER YOUTH STUDENTS: 20I7/I8 \& 2018/I9



## PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF FOSTER YOUTH STUDENTS BY ETHNICITY: 20I7/I8 \& 2018/I9



## CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM RATE FOR FOSTER YOUTH STUDENTS: SEMESTER I, 20I7/I8 \& 2018/I9



## PERCENT OF UNIQUE FOSTER YOUTH STUDENTS* WHO WERE SUSPENDED/EXPELLED: SEMESTER I, 20I7/I8 \& 20I8/I9



## SUSPENSION INSTANCES PER I00* FOR FOSTER YOUTH STUDENTS: SEMESTER I, 2017/I8 \& 2018/I9



## 4-YEAR COHORT GRADUATION RATE FOR FOSTER YOUTH STUDENTS: 2016/I7 \& 2017/I8



## PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF LETTER GRADES IN ALL SUBJECTS FOR FOSTER YOUTH STUDENTS: QUARTER 2/SEMESTER I*, 20I7/I8 \& 2018/I9



## PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF LETTER GRADES IN ELA AND MATH FOR FOSTER YOUTH STUDENTS: QUARTER 2, 20I7/I8 \& 2018/I9 ( $3^{\text {RD }}-6^{\text {TH }}$ )



## PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF LETTER GRADES IN ELAAND MATH FOR FOSTER YOUTH STUDENTS: QUARTER 2, 20I7/I8 \& 2018/I9 ( $7^{\text {TH }}-8^{\text {TH }}$ )



## PERCENT BREAKDOWN OF LETTER GRADES IN ELA AND MATH FOR FOSTER YOUTH STUDENTS: SEMESTER I, 20I7/I8 \& 2018/I9 ( $9^{\text {TH }}-12^{\text {TH }}$ )



